# The Status of Women in Society: A Comparative Analysis of Islam and Hinduism

## Misbah Atta
Lecturer, COMSAT University, Islamabad Email: misbah_atta@comsats.edu.pk
## Wajeeha Ilyas
Lecturer, COMSAT University, Islamabad Email: wajeeha.ilyas@comsats.edu.pk
## Dr. Hanan-e-Kalbi*
Assistant Professor, University of Management, Lahore. Email: hanna.kalbi@umt.edu.pk

The status of women in society has been profoundly shaped by religious, cultural, and historical factors, with Islam and Hinduism offering contrasting frameworks that have influenced gender roles and rights across centuries. This comparative analysis explores the roles, rights, and social positions of women in both religious traditions, highlighting the interplay of doctrine, tradition, and societal norms. While both religions have been historically patriarchal, the extent and nature of gender bias, as well as the avenues for reform and empowerment, differ significantly between them.

In Hindu society, traditional practices such as sati, child marriage, and the prohibition of widow remarriage have historically curtailed women's freedoms and reinforced their subordination to men. Property and inheritance rights were largely denied, and social customs often relegated women to domestic roles, with their worth measured as daughters, wives, and mothers. Although Hindu philosophy does not inherently condone gender bias, many practices and rituals have marginalized women, though there are ongoing efforts within various Hindu communities to challenge these norms and promote gender equality.

Conversely, Islamic teachings, as outlined in the Qur'an and Shari'ah, grant women rights to inheritance, property ownership, business, and remarriage, setting a legal framework that, in theory, supports gender equity. However, practices such as purdah (seclusion) and polygamy have imposed social restrictions on women's autonomy. The respect for women is enshrined in Islamic law, but cultural interpretations and patriarchal traditions have sometimes limited the realization of these rights in practice. Both religions, therefore, exhibit internal diversity, with progressive movements advocating for women's rights and reinterpretation of religious texts to foster inclusivity.

Ultimately, the status of women in both Islam and Hinduism is not monolithic but shaped by a dynamic interplay of religious teachings, cultural traditions, and reformist movements. While both traditions have historically imposed limitations on women, there are significant differences in the rights accorded and the potential for reform, underscoring the importance of contextual and evolving interpretations in shaping gender roles within these societies.

**Keywords:** Gender bias, Patriarchy, Inheritance rights, social reform, Religious practices

**Background:**

The status of women in society has been deeply influenced by a complex interplay of religious, cultural, and historical factors. Islam and Hinduism, two of the world's major religions, have shaped gender roles and women's rights in diverse ways across centuries. These religious traditions offer distinct frameworks that reflect their unique theological doctrines, cultural contexts, and social norms. Understanding women's status within these traditions requires a nuanced examination of both sacred texts and the historical practices that have evolved alongside them (Mernissi, 1991; Narayan, 1997).

Historically, both Islam and Hinduism have been embedded within patriarchal societies that have limited women's autonomy and freedoms. In Hindu society, traditional practices such as sati (the ritual immolation of widows), child marriage, and prohibitions against widow remarriage have significantly curtailed women's rights and reinforced male dominance. These customs, coupled with restrictions on property and inheritance rights, often confined women to domestic roles where their social value was primarily defined by their relationships as daughters, wives, and mothers. Though Hindu philosophical texts do not explicitly endorse gender discrimination, many social rituals and customs have marginalized women, reflecting broader patriarchal structures (Lamb, 2000; Chakravarti, 1993). Recent decades have seen growing efforts within Hindu communities to challenge these norms and promote gender equality (Forbes, 1996).

In contrast, Islamic teachings, as articulated in the Qur'an and Shari'ah law, provide women with explicit rights to inheritance, property ownership, business participation, and remarriage. These legal provisions establish a framework that, in theory, supports gender equity and protects women's social and economic interests. However, cultural practices such as purdah (female seclusion) and polygamy have at times restricted women's autonomy and limited the full realization of these rights. While Islamic law enshrines respect and dignity for women, patriarchal interpretations and cultural traditions have sometimes constrained women's empowerment in practice (Ahmed, 1992; Wadud, 1999), (Baron and Shone, 1992). Both Islam and Hinduism exhibit significant internal diversity, with progressive movements advocating for reinterpretation of religious texts and the promotion of women's rights in contemporary contexts (Badran, 2009; Narayan, 1997).

The status of women in both Islam and Hinduism is therefore neither uniform nor static but shaped by an evolving interaction of religious teachings, cultural traditions, and reformist efforts (Sadiq et al., 2022). While both traditions have historically imposed lim-

itations on women, the differences in doctrinal provisions and social practices highlight the importance of contextual understanding. This dynamic interplay underscores the potential for ongoing reform and empowerment within these religious frameworks (Moghissi, 1999; Forbes, 1996).

**Introduction:**

The historical use of religion and its profound impact on society, particularly examining its role in shaping ethical principles and moral frameworks. It seeks to investigate the ethical implications of leveraging religion to gain power, while also assessing the long-term success of various political and social movements that have incorporated religious influences. Furthermore, it considers how religion has historically defined women's status in society, influencing their rights, roles, and societal expectations, and how these religious constructs continue to shape contemporary discussions on gender equality and political authority Jamil et al. (2023).

**The Role of Religion in Shaping Women's Status:**

The status of women in society is a subject of enduring importance, shaped by a confluence of religious, cultural, and historical factors. Across civilizations, religious traditions have played a pivotal role in defining gender roles, prescribing social norms, and influencing the rights and responsibilities of women. Among the world's major religions, Islam and Hinduism stand out for their profound historical legacies and their distinctive frameworks for understanding gender. Both traditions have deeply influenced societal attitudes toward women, but they do so through contrasting doctrines, rituals, and legal structures. Understanding the status of women within these religions requires a nuanced examination of sacred texts, cultural practices, and the historical evolution of gender norms (Jstor, 1999).

Religion is not merely a set of beliefs but a lived experience that permeates every aspect of social life, from family structures to legal systems and from economic participation to political engagement. In many societies, religious doctrines have been invoked both to justify the subordination of women and to advocate for their rights. This duality is evident in both Islam and Hinduism, where sacred texts and traditions have provided resources for both patriarchal control and feminist reinterpretation (Badran, 2009; Narayan, 1997). The complexity of this dynamic underscores the need for a comparative analysis that situates religious teachings within broader social, cultural, and historical contexts.

**Historical Contexts: Patriarchy and Social Norms:**

Patriarchy, defined as a social system in which men hold primary power and predominate in roles of leadership, moral authority, and control of property, has been a persistent feature in both Islamic and Hindu societies (Chakravarti, 1993; Mernissi, 1991). However, the manifestation of patriarchy and the avenues available for women's empowerment have varied considerably between these traditions. In Hindu society, for example, the historical practices of sati (the ritual immolation of widows), child marriage, and the prohibition of widow remarriage have been emblematic of the ways in which women's autonomy was curtailed and their subordination institutionalized. These practices were often justified through religious and cultural narratives, even though foundational Hindu philosophy does not inherently condone gender discrimination (Lamb, 2000).

Women in traditional Hindu society were often denied property and inheritance rights, with their social value largely measured by their roles as daughters, wives, and mothers. The Manusmriti, an ancient legal text, codified many of these restrictions, reinforcing the notion that women should be under the guardianship of male relatives throughout their lives (Chakravarti, 1993). While there have always been counter-narratives within Hinduism, including the veneration of female deities and the acknowledgment of women's spiritual potential, these ideals were often overshadowed by patriarchal customs. In the modern era, however, there has been a growing movement within Hindu communities to challenge these traditions and advocate for gender equality, spurred by social reformers, feminist scholars, and legislative changes (Forbes, 1996).

In contrast, Islamic teachings, as articulated in the Qur'an and Shari'ah law, provide women with explicit rights to inheritance, property ownership, business participation, and remarriage. These legal provisions, revolutionary in the context of seventh-century Arabia, established a framework that, in theory, supports gender equity and protects women's social and economic interests (Ahmed, 1992; Wadud, 1999). However, the practical realization of these rights has often been limited by cultural practices such as purdah (female seclusion) and polygamy, as well as by patriarchal interpretations of religious texts. The respect and dignity accorded to women in Islamic law are frequently mediated by local customs and traditions, resulting in significant variation across different Muslim societies (Mernissi, 1991; Badran, 2009) (*The Veil and the Male Elite: A Feminist Interpretation of Women's Rights in Islam: Fatima Mernissi, Mary Jo Lakeland: 9780201632217: Amazon.com: Books*, 2019).

**The Interplay of Doctrine, Tradition, and Reform:**

Jamil and Sadiq (2023) The relationship between religious doctrine and social practice is complex and dynamic. In both Islam and Hinduism, sacred texts have been interpreted in diverse ways, leading to a wide range of practices concerning women's rights and roles. For instance, while the Qur'an explicitly grants women rights to inheritance and property, these rights have often been circumscribed by local customs and legal interpretations (Wadud, 1999). Similarly, while Hindu philosophy acknowledges the spiritual equality of men and women, social practices have frequently relegated women to subordinate positions (Basharat, 2009).

This internal diversity is further complicated by the presence of reformist movements within both traditions. In Hinduism, social reformers such as Raja Ram Mohan Roy and Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar campaigned against sati and child marriage, advocating for women's education and legal rights. These efforts culminated in legislative reforms, such as the abolition of sati and the enactment of laws promoting widow remarriage and female education (Forbes, 1996). In Islam, feminist scholars and activists have sought to reclaim the egalitarian spirit of the Qur'an, challenging patriarchal interpretations and advocating for women's rights within an Islamic framework (Badran, 2009; Moghissi, 1999).

The process of reform is ongoing and contested, reflecting broader struggles over the meaning and authority of religious texts. Both traditions have seen the emergence of progressive movements that seek to reinterpret sacred texts in ways that affirm women's dignity and rights. These movements are often met with resistance from conservative elements within religious communities, highlighting the tension between tradition and change (Narayan, 1997; Moghissi, 1999).

**Comparative Perspectives: Similarities and Differences**

While both Islam and Hinduism have historically imposed limitations on women, there are significant differences in the rights accorded and the potential for reform. Islamic law, for example, provides a clear legal framework for women's rights to inheritance and property, whereas Hindu law has traditionally been more restrictive in this regard (Ahmed, 1992; Chakravarti, 1993). At the same time, both traditions have been shaped by patriarchal social structures that have limited the practical realization of these rights. The differences between Islam and Hinduism are not merely doctrinal but are also shaped by broader historical and cultural contexts. Colonialism, nationalism, and globalization have all played a role in shaping contemporary debates over women's rights in

both traditions. In India, for example, the struggle for women's rights has been closely linked to broader movements for social justice and national independence. In many Muslim-majority societies, debates over women's rights are intertwined with questions of religious identity, political authority, and cultural authenticity (Forbes, 1996; Badran, 2009).

Despite these differences, there are also important similarities. Both traditions have produced powerful feminist voices that have challenged patriarchal norms and advocated for gender justice. Both have also seen the emergence of legal and social reforms aimed at improving the status of women. The comparative study of Islam and Hinduism thus offers valuable insights into the ways in which religious traditions can both constrain and enable women's agency (Ahmed, 1992; Narayan, 1997).

**The Importance of Contextual and Evolving Interpretations:**

The status of women in Islam and Hinduism is not monolithic but is shaped by a dynamic interplay of religious teachings, cultural traditions, and reformist movements. This complexity underscores the importance of contextual and evolving interpretations in shaping gender roles within these societies. It also highlights the need for a comparative approach that takes into account both the similarities and differences between these traditions.

By examining the roles, rights, and social positions of women in Islam and Hinduism, this study seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the factors that shape gender relations in religious societies. It also aims to highlight the potential for reform and empowerment within these traditions, drawing attention to the ways in which women have resisted, negotiated, and transformed patriarchal norms.

The comparative analysis of the status of women in Islam and Hinduism reveals a complex and multifaceted picture. Both traditions have been shaped by patriarchal structures, but they also contain resources for feminist reinterpretation and social reform. The ongoing struggle for gender justice within these traditions reflects broader debates over the meaning of religion, the authority of sacred texts, and the possibilities for social change.

**Problem statement:**

The status and rights of women in society have been deeply shaped by religious, cultural, and historical factors, with Islam and Hinduism providing distinct yet influential frameworks that have determined gender roles and social positions across generations. Despite both traditions originating within patriarchal societies, the degree and nature of

gender bias, as well as the avenues for reform and empowerment, differ significantly between them.

In traditional Hindu society, particularly among certain castes such as Brahmins and so-called "Achuth" (historically marginalized groups), longstanding practices—including sati, child marriage, and restrictions on widow remarriage—have severely limited women's freedoms and reinforced their subordination to men. Women were often denied property and inheritance rights, and their social value was primarily defined by their roles as daughters, wives, and mothers. Although Hindu philosophy does not inherently condone gender discrimination, many rituals and customs have marginalized women, and efforts to challenge these norms have only gained momentum in recent decades.

Conversely, Islamic teachings as articulated in the Qur'an and Shari'ah law grant women explicit rights to inheritance, property ownership, business participation, and remarriage. These provisions, revolutionary for their time, establish a legal framework that, in theory, supports gender equity and protects women's social and economic interests. However, cultural practices such as purdah (female seclusion) and polygamy, as well as patriarchal interpretations of religious texts, have sometimes constrained the practical realization of these rights1.

The central problem this research seeks to address is the contrast in how women's rights, roles, and opportunities for empowerment are defined and realized within Islam and Hinduism. Specifically, it investigates whether Islamic doctrine and practice provide a more equitable framework for women's rights and social status—across roles as mothers, wives, and daughters—compared to the traditional practices in Hindu society, where women, particularly from marginalized castes, have historically faced greater restrictions and denial of rights. This research also aims to explore the dynamic interplay between religious doctrine, cultural traditions, and reformist movements in shaping the lived experiences of women in both societies, highlighting both the challenges and the potential for ongoing social transformation.

By conducting a comparative analysis, this study will contribute to a deeper understanding of the factors that perpetuate or challenge gender inequality within these religious traditions, and will assess the prospects for reform and empowerment for women within Islamic and Hindu contexts.

| Aspect | Islamic Women | Brahmin Women (Traditional Hindu Upper Caste) | Achuth Women (Historically Marginalized Hindu Caste) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Religious Framework** | Rights granted by Qur'an and Shariah: inheritance, property ownership, re-marriage, business participation (Mazumder, 2018). | Hindu philosophy values feminine divine (Shakti) but social customs restrict women's freedoms. | Subject to severe social restrictions and caste-based discrimination within Hindu society. |
| **Marriage and Widowhood** | Remarriage allowed; polygamy permitted but culturally variable; purdah/seclusion practiced in some cultures. | Practices like child marriage, widow immobility, and restrictions on widow remarriage historically prevalent. | Often faced harsher restrictions and social exclusion, including denial of widow remarriage. |
| **Property and Inheritance** | Explicit rights to inheritance and property ownership guaranteed by Islamic law. | Traditionally denied or limited inheritance rights, especially for women in upper castes; reforms ongoing. | Generally denied property and inheritance rights due to caste and gender discrimination. |
| **Social Roles and Value** | Roles as mothers, wives, and daughters recognized with legal protections; cultural practices sometimes limit freedoms. | Social value tied to roles as daughters, wives, mothers; patriarchal norms reinforced by rituals and customs. | Social value severely limited; marginalized by both caste and gender norms, reinforcing sub- |

| | | | ordination. |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Religious and Cultural Reform** | Reformist movements exist but face challenges from patriarchal interpretations; women like Aisha bintAbi Bakr notable for early empowerment. | Feminist reinterpretations of Hinduism emphasize divine feminine and Bhakti movement's spiritual equality; legal reforms like Widow Remarriage Act enacted. | Activism emerging to challenge caste and gender discrimination; legal reforms and feminist movements increasingly active. |
| **Education and Public Life** | Women historically participated in education and social life; contemporary practices vary widely by region. | Increasing educational and political participation; government schemes promote empowerment (e.g., Beti Bachao Beti Padhao) (Rajnandani, 2024). | Historically limited access; contemporary activism and social reforms improving opportunities (Chatterjee, n.d.). |

**Research methodology:**

For a study comparing the status and rights of women in Islamic and Hindu societies, a qualitative research methodology is highly appropriate, as it allows for an in-depth exploration of complex social, cultural, and religious dynamics that cannot be fully captured by quantitative measures. The qualitative approach is particularly well-suited for examining the lived experiences, historical contexts, and evolving interpretations that shape women's roles and opportunities within these traditions.

**Comparative Case Study Method:**

A comparative case study methodology enables the researcher to systematically analyze and contrast the experiences of women in Islamic and Hindu contexts, focusing on specific groups such as Islamic women, Brahmin women, and Achuth women. By selecting representative cases from both religious traditions—potentially across different regions or communities within countries like India and Pakistan—the study can illumi-

nate how doctrine, custom, and reform movements interact to influence women's rights and social status. This method allows for the identification of both similarities and differences, providing a nuanced understanding of how religious and cultural factors produce varying outcomes for women(Campany, 2018).

**Historical Study:**

The historical study component is essential for tracing the evolution of women's status over time within both Islam and Hinduism. This involves examining primary sources such as religious texts (the Qur'an, Vedas, Manusmriti), legal codes (Shari'ah, Hindu personal law), and historical records of social practices (like sati, purdah, or widow re-marriage). By situating contemporary gender roles within their broader historical context, the research can reveal how traditions have been shaped by—and have responded to—social reform movements, colonialism, and modern legal changes. This historical perspective is crucial for understanding the roots of gender inequality and the processes of reform and resistance within each tradition.

**Explanatory and Exploratory Research:**

This study is both explanatory and exploratory in nature. It is **exploratory** because it seeks to investigate relatively under-examined aspects of women lived experiences in both religious traditions, especially among marginalized groups like Achuth women. The research aims to uncover new insights into how religious doctrine, cultural norms, and social reforms intersect to shape gender roles, often in ways not previously documented or fully understood.

At the same time, the research is **explanatory** because it goes beyond description to analyze the reasons behind observed differences and similarities. It seeks to explain why, for example, Islamic doctrine provides explicit legal rights to women, while Hindu practices have historically imposed more severe restrictions, particularly among marginalized castes. By comparing the doctrinal foundations, social customs, and reformist efforts in both traditions, the study aims to clarify the mechanisms through which gender inequality is perpetuated or challenged.

**Justification:**

A qualitative, comparative case study and historical approach is justified by the complexity and context-specific nature of gender roles in religious societies. Quantitative data alone cannot capture the subtleties of religious interpretation, the impact of reform movements, or the lived realities of women navigating patriarchal structures. Qualitative methods—such as interviews, textual analysis, and participant observation—allow

for a richer, more nuanced understanding of these issues. The combination of exploratory and explanatory aims ensures that the research not only maps the landscape of women's rights in Islam and Hinduism but also provides a critical analysis of the factors driving change and continuity.

This methodology is well-suited to address the research questions, offering both depth and breadth in understanding the status of women in Islamic and Hindu societies, and providing valuable insights into the prospects for reform and empowerment within these religious frameworks (Freiberger, 2018).

**Conclusion:**

The historical influence of religion on societal structures, particularly regarding ethical principles and gender roles, has been profound and multifaceted. Islam and Hinduism, while distinct in their doctrines, have both played significant roles in shaping women's status, with their sacred texts providing both constraints and opportunities for empowerment. While Islamic teachings explicitly grant women rights to inheritance, property, and social participation, cultural practices have often limited their full realization. Hinduism, on the other hand, has historically imposed restrictions through texts such as the Manusmriti, though reformist movements have worked to challenge patriarchal norms.

Both religions have been shaped by broader socio-political contexts, including colonialism and nationalism, influencing contemporary debates on gender equality. While each tradition has its own unique challenges, both have also fostered powerful feminist voices advocating for reform. The ongoing struggle for gender justice within religious frameworks highlights the dynamic tension between tradition and progress.

Ultimately, the comparative analysis of these traditions underscores that religion is not a static force but an evolving entity influenced by historical, cultural, and political shifts. The potential for reform and empowerment exists within both Islam and Hinduism, demonstrating that religious interpretations can adapt to advance women's rights and societal equality.

**REFRENCE:**

Baron, W. and Shone, R. (1992). *Walter Sickert*. Yale University Press.

Economic and Political Weekly. (2015). *Homepage*. [online] Available at: https://www.epw.in/.

Internet Archive. (2014). *Internet Archive*. Archive.org. https://archive.org

Narayan, U. (2013). *Dislocating Cultures*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203707487

Jstor. (1999). JSTOR. In *Jstor.org*. https://www.jstor.org

Karki, I. (2025). *Chakravarti-Conceptualising Brahmanical Patriarchy*. Scribd. https://www.scribd.com/document/673936844/Chakravarti-Conceptualising-Brahmanical-Patriarchy

*The Veil And The Male Elite: A Feminist Interpretation Of Women's Rights In Islam: Fatima Mernissi, Mary Jo Lakeland: 9780201632217: Amazon.com: Books*. (2019). Amazon.com. https://www.amazon.com/Veil-Male-Elite-Feminist-Interpretation/dp/0201632217

Webb, G., & Wadud, A. (2000). Qur'an and Woman: Rereading the Sacred Text from a Woman's Perspective. *Journal of Law and Religion*, *15*(1/2), 519. https://doi.org/10.2307/1051560

Haideh Moghissi. (2014). *Feminism and Islamic Fundamentalism: The Limits of Postmodern Analysis*. Philpapers.org. https://philpapers.org/rec/MOGFAI

Basharat, T. (2009). The Contemporary Hindu Women of India: An Overview. *South Asian Studies a Research Journal of South Asian Studies*, *24*(2), 242–249. https://pu.edu.pk/images/journal/csas/PDF/5-Dr.%20Tahira.pdf

Chatterjee, E. (n.d.). *Technoarete Transactions on Advances in Social Sciences and Humanities Exploring Gender Dynamics in Hinduism through Activism and Reform: A Systematic Review*. [online] Available at: https://technoaretepublication.org/socialsciences-humanities/article/exploring-gender-dynamics.pdf.

Rajnandani. (2024, December 30). *Fusing Faith and Facts: The role of Hinduism and science in advancing gender equality*. Organiser. https://organiser.org/2024/12/30/271327/bharat/fusing-faith-and-facts-the-role-of-hinduism-and-science-in-advancing-gender-equality/

Mazumder, N.-M. (2018). Issue 8 www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162). *JETIR1808789 Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, *5*. https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR1808789.pdf

Campany, R. F. (2018). A Comparative Case Study. *Numen*, *65*(4), 333–376. JSTOR. https://doi.org/10.2307/26566262

Freiberger, O. (2018). Elements of a Comparative Methodology in the Study of Religion. *Religions*, *9*(2), 38. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel9020038

Jamil, S., & Sadiq, Z. (2023, February 22). *Concept of "Deity, Man and Universe: A Comparison between Western Weltanschauung and Holy Quran.* https://alasr.com.pk/ojs3308/index.php/alasar/article/view/90

Jamil, S., Hanna-E-Kalbi, & Sadiq, Z. (2023, September 30). *Religious sensibilities as a political tool.* https://prjss.com/index.php/prjss/article/view/15

Sadiq, Z., Siraj, A., & Jamil, S. (2022). Levels of charismaphobia among veiled and unveiled Muslim women. *www.alqamarjournal.com.* https://doi.org/10.53762/alqamar.05.03.e01